

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniai 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,912	05/14/2002	Michael O'Connor	42390.P3674R	1765
8791	7590 05/07/2003			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		VENTH FLOOR	TOLIN, GERALD P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/976,912	O'CONNOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	71 111111110000000000000000000000000000	Gerald P Tolin	2835			
Period fo	• •					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		– s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-52$ is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l in this National Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provick the translation of the foreign language provick the translation of the foreign language provices the translation of the foreign language provides the foreign language p	sional application has been rece	ived.			
Attachment(		priority under 00 0.0.0, 98 120 8	anu/ULTZT.			
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/976,912

Art Unit: 2835

Page 2

- 1. This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as the assignee has not established its ownership interest in the patent for which reissue is being requested. An assignee must establish its ownership interest in order to support the consent to a reissue application required by 37 CFR 1.172(a). The assignee's ownership interest is established by:
- (a) filing in the reissue application evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee, or
- (b) specifying in the record of the reissue application where such evidence is recorded in the Office (e.g., reel and frame number, etc.).

The above sections (a) and (b) have not been satisfied by the current papers.

a. The submission with respect to (a) and (b) to establish ownership must be signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 1410.01.

An appropriate paper satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 3.73 must be submitted in reply to this Office action.

2. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. The specific errors should be pointed out with reference to claim numbers and specific limitations ("excess limitations" is not sufficient). This has not been done. Also, a statement that all errors arose without deceptive intent is lacking.

Art Unit: 2835

3. Claims 1-52 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Claims 28-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See Hester Industries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc., 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v. United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Claims 28-35 and 37-50 have details broader than in original claims 9 or 26 (and those dependent thereon. Current claim 36 is broader than cancelled original claim 34. Current claim 51 is broader than original claims 1 or 18. Current claim 52 is broader than original claim 35.

In the prosecution of the original patent, the above mentioned claims were cancelled after art rejections under 102 and 103 based on Nelson, Anderson, Katsui, Art Unit: 2835

Tanuba, Yamada, Toedtman and Lason. Specific details in the cancelled original claims are now present and some details of the finally allowed claims were omitted in the current claims as follows. Concerning claims 20 and 28, the heat pipe was coupled, not "to be coupled" to the component. Also, only a single air exit, not two exit ports are set forth.

In claim 35, two exit ports are not set forth as in the originally allowed claims (claims with single exits were cancelled in the original prosecution history.

In claim 51, internal fins were omitted.

- 5. In claim 36 the third line from the end, "located the air duct" is lacking "in", for example, due to an obvious oversight.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b/e) as being anticipated by either Anderson(102e) or Katsui(102b).

The opposite path air flows are clearly seen in the cover figure. All other details are clearly present.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,912

Art Unit: 2835

8. Claims 20,21,24,26,28,29,31,33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as

Page 5

being anticipated by Nelson.

Duct 24 with fins 36, pipe 38, inlets/outlets and fans 32 are clearly visible, as are all

other recited details.

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Nelson.

material.

Thermally conductive housings of aluminum for computers are notoriously known in the art to better protect the internal parts from damage and cooling purposes. Such therefore would clearly have been obvious over Nelson which fails to mention the

11. Claims 22,23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Nelson taken with any one of Ito, Glover or Anderson.

All references use fins for better cooling. Although Nelson does not discuss the internal

fin details, to use the internal fin details of any one of the secondary references in

Nelson would have been obvious to better cool the components.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,912

Art Unit: 2835

12. Claims 27 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 6

over Nelson taken with either Tanuma or Yamada.

While Nelson lacks a showing of the particular air moving means, both secondary

references show such. It would have been obvious to use either references' air moving

means in Nelson to better cool the parts.

13. Copies of the parent case forms 892 and 1449 are now present in the current file.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gerald P Tolin whose telephone number is 703-308-

3114. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F first friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for

regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Gerald P Tolin
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2835

gpt April 15, 2003

Page 7